

# An Implementation Of Dynamic-Causal-Modelling

Christian Himpe  
`christian.himpe@wwu.de`

WWU Münster  
Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics

27.07.2012

# Overview

## Contents:

- 1 About
- 2 Capabilities
- 3 Extensions
- 4 Open Issues
- 5 Sample Report

## IOD ( = Implentation Of Dynamic-Causal-Modelling)

- Version 1.0 (2011, Diploma Thesis)
- Version 2.0 (planned for Q4/2012)
- Open Source (zlib/libpng License)
- Written in C++11
- Parallelization using OpenMP
- No required dependencies
- Optional: gnuplot, graphviz, tcmalloc, mutt

together with:

Prof. Dr. Mario Ohlberger, Dr. Thomas Seidenbecher, Dr. Jörg Lesting

## Scientific:

- DCM for fMRI (Linear, Bilinear, Nonlinear)
- DCM for EEG (Default, Extended, Adaption, Habituation, Linearized)
- Simulations of Systems

# Capabilities

## Scientific:

- DCM for fMRI (Linear, Bilinear, Nonlinear)
- DCM for EEG (Default, Extended, Adaption, Habituation, Linearized)
- Simulations of Systems

## Technical:

- Modular Dynamic and Forward Models
- Order 1, 2, 5 Runge-Kutta Solver (optionally adaptive)
- Remote Execution (optionally mailing results)

## Major:

- EM-Algorithm Optimization
- Drift Filter

## Minor:

- Positive (Definite) Temporal Correlation
- Fast Model Evidence Calculations
- Bandpass Filter
- XHTML/SVG Reporting

# EM-Algorithm Optimization

Using the following linear algebra lemma:

1  $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$

2  $tr(AB) = \sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} b_{ji}$

3  $tr(ABC) = tr(BCA) = tr(CAB)$

# EM-Algorithm Optimization

Using the following linear algebra lemma:

1  $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$

2  $tr(AB) = \sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} b_{ji}$

3  $tr(ABC) = tr(BCA) = tr(CAB)$

- reduces significantly the number of flops,

# EM-Algorithm Optimization

Using the following linear algebra lemma:

1  $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$

2  $tr(AB) = \sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} b_{ji}$

3  $tr(ABC) = tr(BCA) = tr(CAB)$

- reduces significantly the number of flops,
- as well as the memory consumptions,

# EM-Algorithm Optimization

Using the following linear algebra lemma:

1  $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$

2  $tr(AB) = \sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} b_{ji}$

3  $tr(ABC) = tr(BCA) = tr(CAB)$

- reduces significantly the number of flops,
- as well as the memory consumptions,
- especially inside the M-step;

# EM-Algorithm Optimization

Using the following linear algebra lemma:

1  $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$

2  $tr(AB) = \sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} b_{ji}$

3  $tr(ABC) = tr(BCA) = tr(CAB)$

- reduces significantly the number of flops,
- as well as the memory consumptions,
- especially inside the M-step;
- even more when recycling the E-step.

# EM-Algorithm Optimization

Using the following linear algebra lemma:

1  $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$

2  $tr(AB) = \sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} b_{ji}$

3  $tr(ABC) = tr(BCA) = tr(CAB)$

- reduces significantly the number of flops,
- as well as the memory consumptions,
- especially inside the M-step;
- even more when recycling the E-step.

For more info see: <http://j.mp/himpe> (p.30-36).

Drift Term  $X\beta$ :

- Additional set of parameters  $\beta$ ,
- reflecting unrelated oscillations,
- modelled by a discrete cosine set  $X$ .

Drift Term  $X\beta$ :

- Additional set of parameters  $\beta$ ,
- reflecting unrelated oscillations,
- modelled by a discrete cosine set  $X$ .
- The drift matrix  $X$  can be customized to a high-pass filter.

# Drift Filter

Drift Term  $X\beta$ :

- Additional set of parameters  $\beta$ ,
- reflecting unrelated oscillations,
- modelled by a discrete cosine set  $X$ .
- The drift matrix  $X$  can be customized to a high-pass filter.
- It is not advisable, though possible, to use as low-pass filter.

# Open Issues

## Major:

- 1 Post-Hoc Model Selection (2.0)
- 2 EEG Model Restructuring (2.0)
- 3 Model Reduction (3.0)
- 4 Optimal Maps (3.0)

# Post-Hoc Model Selection

- An implementation of “Post-hoc selection of dynamic causal models”, M.J. Rosa, K. Friston, W. Penny, Journal of Neuroscience Methods, Volume 208, Issue 1, 30 June 2012, Pages 66-78
- <http://j.mp/posthoc>

# Post-Hoc Model Selection

- An implementation of “Post-hoc selection of dynamic causal models”, M.J. Rosa, K. Friston, W. Penny, Journal of Neuroscience Methods, Volume 208, Issue 1, 30 June 2012, Pages 66-78
- <http://j.mp/posthoc>
- Compute posterior of multiple reduced (connectivity) models,

# Post-Hoc Model Selection

- An implementation of “Post-hoc selection of dynamic causal models”, M.J. Rosa, K. Friston, W. Penny, Journal of Neuroscience Methods, Volume 208, Issue 1, 30 June 2012, Pages 66-78
- <http://j.mp/posthoc>
- Compute posterior of multiple reduced (connectivity) models,
- by estimating the full (connectivity) model

# Post-Hoc Model Selection

- An implementation of “Post-hoc selection of dynamic causal models”, M.J. Rosa, K. Friston, W. Penny, Journal of Neuroscience Methods, Volume 208, Issue 1, 30 June 2012, Pages 66-78
- <http://j.mp/posthoc>
- Compute posterior of multiple reduced (connectivity) models,
- by estimating the full (connectivity) model
- and relate to the reduced models priors.

# EEG Model Restructuring

Split EEG model into:

- linear
- nonlinear

submodels,

# EEG Model Restructuring

Split EEG model into:

- linear
- nonlinear

submodels, to:

- 1 improve performance

# EEG Model Restructuring

Split EEG model into:

- linear
- nonlinear

submodels, to:

- 1 improve performance and
- 2 prepare for model reduction.

# Model Reduction

Considering many ( $>100$ ) regions...

# Model Reduction

Considering many ( $>100$ ) regions...

- the estimation of connectivity parameters consumes the bulk of computation time,

# Model Reduction

Considering many ( $>100$ ) regions...

- the estimation of connectivity parameters consumes the bulk of computation time,
- because the differentiation (requiring integrations) dominates

# Model Reduction

Considering many ( $>100$ ) regions...

- the estimation of connectivity parameters consumes the bulk of computation time,
- because the differentiation (requiring integrations) dominates
- (except for exponential maps, but they are too limiting anyway).

# Model Reduction

Considering many ( $>100$ ) regions...

- the estimation of connectivity parameters consumes the bulk of computation time,
- because the differentiation (requiring integrations) dominates
- (except for exponential maps, but they are too limiting anyway).
- Thus the current model structure is not viable here.

# Model Reduction

Considering many ( $>100$ ) regions...

- the estimation of connectivity parameters consumes the bulk of computation time,
- because the differentiation (requiring integrations) dominates
- (except for exponential maps, but they are too limiting anyway).
- Thus the current model structure is not viable here.

## **Model Reduction to the Rescue!**

Find a surrogate model, with a low dimensional parameter space.

# Model Reduction

Considering many ( $>100$ ) regions...

- the estimation of connectivity parameters consumes the bulk of computation time,
- because the differentiation (requiring integrations) dominates
- (except for exponential maps, but they are too limiting anyway).
- Thus the current model structure is not viable here.

## Model Reduction to the Rescue!

Find a surrogate model, with a low dimensional parameter space.

Two approaches are considered:

- 1 Projection (Complex, Precise)

# Model Reduction

Considering many ( $>100$ ) regions...

- the estimation of connectivity parameters consumes the bulk of computation time,
- because the differentiation (requiring integrations) dominates
- (except for exponential maps, but they are too limiting anyway).
- Thus the current model structure is not viable here.

## Model Reduction to the Rescue!

Find a surrogate model, with a low dimensional parameter space.

Two approaches are considered:

- 1 Projection (Complex, Precise)
- 2 Truncation (Simple, Coarse)

# Optimal Maps

- An implementation of “Bayesian Inference with Optimal Maps”, T. A. El Moselhy, Y. M. Marzouk, arXiv
- <http://j.mp/optimalmaps>

# Optimal Maps

- An implementation of “Bayesian Inference with Optimal Maps”, T. A. El Moselhy, Y. M. Marzouk, arXiv
- <http://j.mp/optimalmaps>
- Replacing the EM-algorithm using optimal maps.

# Optimal Maps

- An implementation of “Bayesian Inference with Optimal Maps”, T. A. El Moselhy, Y. M. Marzouk, arXiv
- <http://j.mp/optimalmaps>
- Replacing the EM-algorithm using optimal maps.
- Find a map that transforms the prior into the posterior distribution,

# Optimal Maps

- An implementation of “Bayesian Inference with Optimal Maps”, T. A. El Moselhy, Y. M. Marzouk, arXiv
- <http://j.mp/optimalmaps>
- Replacing the EM-algorithm using optimal maps.
- Find a map that transforms the prior into the posterior distribution,
- using for example low-order polynomials,

# Optimal Maps

- An implementation of “Bayesian Inference with Optimal Maps”, T. A. El Moselhy, Y. M. Marzouk, arXiv
- <http://j.mp/optimalmaps>
- Replacing the EM-algorithm using optimal maps.
- Find a map that transforms the prior into the posterior distribution,
- using for example low-order polynomials,
- until the variance drops below some threshold.

# Sample Report

Goto:

`http://j.mp/iodreport`

- Modular Implementation ( <http://j.mp/himpe> )
- Replace the EM algorithm ( <http://j.mp/optimalmaps> )
- Include Model Reduction

Thank You