



MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE
FOR DYNAMICS OF COMPLEX
TECHNICAL SYSTEMS
MAGDEBURG



COMPUTATIONAL METHODS IN
SYSTEMS AND CONTROL THEORY

Model Order Reduction for Gas and Energy Networks

C. Himpe, S. Grundel, P. Benner
(Code Review: DISC team)

Computational Methods in Systems and Control Theory Group
Max Planck Institute Magdeburg

CSC Paper Blitz
2021-07-29

Supported by:



Federal Ministry
for Economic Affairs
and Energy

Isothermal Euler Equations on Graphs:

$$d_0 \partial_t p^* = D_p \partial_x q$$

$$\partial_t q^* = D_q \partial_x p - \left(D_q D_g d_0 p^* + D_f \frac{|q^*| q^*}{d_0 p^*} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_0 q^* = \mathcal{B}_d d_q$$

A model reduction challenge:

- Parametric
- Nonlinear
- Hyperbolic
- Coupled
- Partial Differential(-Algebraic) Equation Systems

Isothermal Euler Equations on Graphs:

$$d_0 \partial_t p^* = D_p \partial_x q$$

$$\partial_t q^* = D_q \partial_x p - \left(D_q D_g d_0 p^* + D_f \frac{|q^*| q^*}{d_0 p^*} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_0 q^* = \mathcal{B}_d d_q$$

A model reduction challenge:

- Large-Scale
- Parametric
- Nonlinear
- Hyperbolic
- Coupled
- Partial Differential(-Algebraic) Equation Systems

→ **Model Order Reduction for Gas and Energy Networks**

Input-Output System:

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_p(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & E_q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{p} \\ \dot{q} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{pq} \\ A_{qp} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_{pd} \\ B_{qs} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_p \\ d_q \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F_c \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f_q(p, q, \theta) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_q \\ d_p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C_{sq} \\ C_{dp} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix}$$

Test, compare, benchmark structured model reduction algorithms:

- Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD)
- Empirical Dominant Subspaces
- Empirical Balanced Truncation
- Balanced POD
- Empirical Balanced Gains
- Goal-Oriented POD
- Dynamic Mode Decomposition (DMD) Galerkin

Input-Output System:

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_p(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & E_q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{p} \\ \dot{q} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{pq} \\ A_{qp} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_{pd} \\ B_{qs} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_p \\ d_q \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F_c \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f_q(p, q, \theta) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_q \\ d_p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C_{sq} \\ C_{dp} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix}$$

Test, compare, benchmark structured model reduction algorithms:

- Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD)
- Empirical Dominant Subspaces (*first placed*)
- Empirical Balanced Truncation
- Balanced POD
- Empirical Balanced Gains
- Goal-Oriented POD
- Dynamic Mode Decomposition (DMD) Galerkin
- **Your** favorite model reduction method!

→ <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13362-021-00109-4>