

Gas Networks, Brain Networks, and Model Reduction

Christian Himpe

SimTech Seminar on Model Reduction and Data Techniques for Surrogate Modelling

2022-05-12







> Outline



Common Theme:

Projection-Based Model Reduction

Gas Networks:

Parametric Model Order Reduction

Brain Networks:

Combined State and Parameter Reduction

Common Approach:

Data-Driven Assembly of System-Theoretic Operators



> Overarching Model

Parametric Nonlinear Input-Output System:

$$\begin{split} E(\theta) \, \dot{x}(t) &= A \, x(t) + B \, u(t) + f(x(t), \theta) \\ y(t) &= C \, x(t) \end{split}$$

- State: $x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^N$
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Input: } u: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^M$
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Output: } y: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^Q$
- Parameter: $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^P$

Dimension: $N \gg 1$

- System Matrix: $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$
- lnput Matrix: $B \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}$
- **>** Output Matrix: $C \in \mathbb{R}^{Q \times N}$
- Mass Matrix Map: $E : \mathbb{R}^P \to \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$
- ▶ Nonlinearity: $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^P \to \mathbb{R}^N$



> Gas Networks

Joint work with: P. Benner & S. Grundel

C. Himpe, S. Grundel, P. Benner: **Model Order Reduction for Gas and Energy Networks**; Journal of Mathematics in Industry 11: 13, 2021. doi:10.1186/s13362-021-00109-4

C. Himpe: **Comparing (Empirical-Gramian-Based) Model Order Reduction Algorithms;** Model Reduction of Complex Dynamical Systems: 141–164, 2021. 978-3-030-72983-7_7

P. Benner, C. Himpe: Cross-Gramian-Based Dominant Subspaces; Advances in Computational Mathematics, 45(5): 2533–2553, 2019. doi:10.1007/s10444-019-09724-7

P. Benner, S. Grundel, C. Himpe, C. Huck, T. Streubel, C. Tischendorf: Gas Network Benchmark Models; Applications of Differential-Algebraic Equations: Examples and Benchmarks: 171–197, 2018. doi:10.1007/11221_2018_5

C. Himpe, S. Grundel, P. Benner: **Next-Gen Gas Network Simulation**; Progress in Industrial Mathematics: In Press, 2022. arxiv:2108.02651



Gas Networks, Brain Networks, and Model Reduction

> Motivation

Gas Transport is Complicated:

- Continent-spanning infrastructure
 - Daily, reliable and safe delivery
 - Cost and resource efficient transmission
- Weather-dependent consumption

Green Energy Transition:

- Volatile production: biogas, hydrogen
- Gas mixture tracking (!!!)
- Gas-fired power-plants
 - Energy storage





> Gas Pipeline

Friction-Dominated 1D Isothermal Euler Equations in a Long Pipe:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{T_0 R_S z_0} \partial_t p &= -\frac{1}{S} \, \partial_x q \\ \partial_t q &= -S \, \partial_x p - \Big(\underbrace{\frac{S \, g \, h_x}{T_0 R_S z_0}}_{\text{Gravity}} p + \underbrace{\frac{T_0 R_S z_0 \lambda_0}{2 \, d \, S}}_{\text{Friction}} \frac{|q| \, q}{p} \Big) \end{split}$$

- **Pressure:** p(x,t)
- Mass-Flux: q(x,t)
- Pipe Incline: h_x
- Pipe Diameter: d
- Pipe Cross-Section: S

- Friction Factor: λ_0
- Compressibility Factor: z_0
- Free Temperature: $T_0 =: \theta_1$
- Specific Gas Constant: $R_S =: \theta_2$
- ► Gravity Acceleration: g



> Gas Network

Kirchhoff Laws:

1. The sum of mass-flux in- and outflows at every junction is zero:

$$\mathcal{A}\,q(t)=\mathcal{B}_d\,d_q(t)$$

2. The sum of pressure drops in every fundamental loop is zero. \rightarrow Nodal pressures at in-flows equal to boundary function:

$$\mathcal{A}_0^\top \, p(t) + \mathcal{B}_s^\top \, s_p(t) = |\mathcal{A}_0^\top| \, p(t)$$

- Incidence Matrix: A
- Reduced Incidence Matrix: \mathcal{A}_0
- Boundary Pressure Map: \mathcal{B}_s
- **b** Boundary Mass-Flux Map: \mathcal{B}_d

- Pressure: p(t)
- Mass-Flux: q(t)
- Pressure Boundary: $s_p(t)$
- Mass-Flux Boundary: $d_q(t)$



> Input-State-Output System

Port-Hamiltonian (pH) Endpoint Discretization:



Christian Himpe (https://himpe.science)



> Parametric Model Order Reduction Goal:

 $\dim(x_r(t)) \ll \dim(x(t)) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \|y(\theta) - \tilde{y}(\theta)\| \ll 1$

Reducing and Reconstructing Projections:

$$x_r(t) := V_r \, x(t) \ \rightarrow \ x(t) \approx U_r \, x_r(t)$$

Reduced Order Model:

$$\begin{split} \left(V_r E(\theta) U_r \right) \dot{x}_r(t) &= \left(V_r A U_r \right) x_r(t) + \left(V_r B \right) u(t) + V_r \, f(U_r x_r(t), u(t), \theta) \\ \tilde{y}(t) &= \left(C U_r \right) x_r(t) \end{split}$$

Note: Projection-based model reduction for a hyperbolic system!



> System-Theoretic Idea (DSPMR)

Linear Time-Invariant System:

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}(t) &= A\,x(t) + B\,u(t) \\ y(t) &= C\,x(t) \end{split}$$

Controllability and Observability:

$$W_C := \int_0^\infty (\mathrm{e}^{At}\,B) (\mathrm{e}^{At}\,B)^\top \,\mathrm{d} t, \qquad W_O := \int_0^\infty (\mathrm{e}^{A^\top t}\,C^\top) (\mathrm{e}^{A^\top t}\,C^\top)^\top \,\mathrm{d} t$$

Dominant Subspace Projection Model Reduction (No Balancing):

$$\begin{split} W_C \stackrel{\operatorname{rrSVD}}{=} U_C D_C U_C^\top, \qquad & W_O \stackrel{\operatorname{rrSVD}}{=} U_O D_O U_O^\top \\ \left[(U_C D_C) \quad (U_O D_O) \right] \stackrel{\operatorname{rrSVD}}{=} U \ D \ T, \quad V := U^\top \end{split}$$



> System-Theoretic Idea (DSPMR)

Linear Time-Invariant System:

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}(t) &= A\,x(t) + B\,u(t) \\ y(t) &= C\,x(t) \end{split}$$

Controllability and Observability:

$$W_C := \int_0^\infty (\mathrm{e}^{At}\,B) (\mathrm{e}^{At}\,B)^\top \,\mathrm{d} t, \qquad W_O := \int_0^\infty (\mathrm{e}^{A^\top t}\,C^\top) (\mathrm{e}^{A^\top t}\,C^\top)^\top \,\mathrm{d} t$$

Dominant Subspace Projection Model Reduction (Refined):

$$\begin{split} W_C \stackrel{\mathrm{rrSVD}}{=} U_C D_C U_C^\top, \qquad & W_O \stackrel{\mathrm{rrSVD}}{=} U_O D_O U_O^\top \\ \left[(\|W_C\|_F^{-1} U_C D_C) \quad (\|W_O\|_F^{-1} U_O D_O) \right] \stackrel{\mathrm{rrSVD}}{=} U \ D \ T, \quad V := U^\top \end{split}$$



> System-Theoretic Idea (DSPMR-X)

Square Linear Time-Invariant System (M = Q):

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}(t) &= A\,x(t) + B\,u(t) \\ y(t) &= C\,x(t) \end{split}$$

Controllability • **Observability** = **Minimality**:

$$W_X := \int_0^\infty (\mathrm{e}^{At}\,B) (\mathrm{e}^{A^\intercal t}\,C^\intercal)^\intercal \,\mathrm{d} t$$

Dominant Subspace Projection Model Reduction (Cross Gramian):

$$\begin{split} W_X \stackrel{\mathrm{rrSVD}}{=} U_X D_X T_X^\top \\ \begin{bmatrix} U_X & T_X \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\mathrm{rrSVD}}{=} U \ D \ T, \quad V := U^\top \end{split}$$



> Data-Driven Computation

Empirical Gramians:

$$W_{C} = \int_{0}^{\infty} (e^{At} B)(e^{At} B)^{\top} dt \qquad = \int_{0}^{\infty} x(t)x(t)^{\top} dt \qquad \approx \Delta t X X^{\top}$$
$$W_{O} = \int_{0}^{\infty} (e^{A^{\top}t} C^{\top})(e^{A^{\top}t} C^{\top})^{\top} dt \qquad = \int_{0}^{\infty} z(t)z(t)^{\top} dt \qquad \approx \Delta t Z Z^{\top}$$
$$W_{X} = \int_{0}^{\infty} (e^{At} B)(e^{A^{\top}t} C^{\top})^{\top} dt \qquad = \int_{0}^{\infty} x(t)z(t)^{\top} dt \qquad \approx \Delta t X Z^{\top}$$

• Applicable to nonlinear, parametric and unstable systems.

• However, nonlinear variant's complexity scales with state dimension (N).

• Linear variant's complexity scales with port dimensions (M + Q).

• An (approximate) adjoint system (z) is required.

Possible due to the pH system's local (repeated scalar) nonlinarity.



> Some Remarks

- Choice of solver is fundamental for efficiency and reducibility!
- Step functions as generic training input due to hyperbolicity!
- ► Fast training (1*h* training for 24*h* tests)!
- Dynamics only relative to steady-state!
- Structured reduction (*p* and *q* separately) is essential!
- Centering of training trajectories is important!
- Galerkin methods preserve stability for this pH model class!
- DSPMR(-X) is a (input-output) Galerkin method!
- Dimension reduction by SVDs of covariances of simulations ...
 Unsupervised Learning of System Properties via Synthetic Data



Gas Networks, Brain Networks, and Model Reduction

> Tested Methods

Reductor	Properties
Structured Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD)	Reachability
Structured Empirical Dominant Subspaces (DSPMR)	Reachability & Observability
Structured Empirical Dominant Subspaces (DSPMR-X)	Minimality
Structured Empirical Dominant Subspaces (DSPMR-Z)	Average Minimality
Structured Balanced POD (BPOD)	Reachability & Observability
Structured Empirical Balanced Truncation (BT)	Reachability & Observability
Structured Empirical Balanced Truncation (BT-X)	Minimality
Structured Empirical Balanced Truncation (BT-Z)	Average Minimality
Structured Goal-Oriented POD (GOPOD)	Reachability
Structured Empirical Balanced Gains (BG)	Reachability & Observability
Structured Empirical Balanced Gains (BG-X)	Minimality
Structured Empirical Balanced Gains (BG-Z)	Average Minimality
Structured Dynamic Mode Decomposition Galerkin (DMDG)	Reachability



> Test Problems

	Training	Test
Input Function	Step	Scenario
Parameter Sampling	Sparse Grid	Uniformly Random
Time Horizon	1h	24h
	Ev	valuation
Measure	Ev Relativ	valuation e Output Error
Measure Norm	Ev Relativ	valuatione Output Error $\cdot \parallel_{L_2 \otimes L_2}$



> Numerical Illustration (Yamal-Europe Pipeline)



MORscores:

POD	0.40
DSPMR	0.51
DSPMR-X	0.57
DSPMR-Z	0.56
BPOD	0.14
BT	0.03
BT-X	0.10
BT-Z	0.15
GOPOD	0.41
BG	0.02
	0.14
BG-Z	0.11
DMDG	0.53



Gas Networks, Brain Networks, and Model Reduction

> More Results



Christian Himpe(https://himpe.science)



> Conclusions

- Due to parametricity, hyperbolicity and nonlinearity: Balancing methods do not work well (unstable ROMs)
- Recommended ensemble: pH model, IMEX-1 solver, DSPMR reductor
- DMD-Galerkin works unreasonably well: This underestimated method needs more investigation
- Extends to:

Water networks, district heating networks, and power networks

Open-source MATLAB implementation:

morgen - Model Order Reduction for Gas and Energy Networks: https://git.io/morgen



> MORe Challenges

Realistic compressors:

 \rightarrow Non-smooth nonlinearites

Gas mixture:

 \rightarrow Additional transport equation for composition tracking

Valves:

 \rightarrow Switched systems



Many ports:

 \rightarrow Port reduction?

Hyper reduction:

 \rightarrow Heuristic combinatorial comparison necessary

Pipeline attrition:

 \rightarrow Large-scale parameter-space



> Brain Networks

Joint work with: M. Ohlberger

C. Himpe: Combined State and Parameter Reduction for Nonlinear Systems with an Application in Neuroscience; Sierke Verlag Göttingen, 2017. doi:10.14626/9783868448818

C. Himpe, M. Ohlberger: A Note on the Cross Gramian for Non-Symmetric Systems; System Science and Control Engineering: 199–208, 2016. doi:10.1080/21642583.2016.1215273

C. Himpe, M. Ohlberger: Cross-Gramian-Based Combined State and Parameter Reduction for Large-Scale Control Systems; Mathematical Problems in Engineering 2014: 843869, 2014. doi:10.1155/2014/843869



> Motivation

The Brain is Complicated:

- A human brain consists of billions of neurons
- Myriad connections exists between neurons
- How does the brain work?
- How is information propagated between neuronal regions?

Understanding Brain Connectivity:

- Expensive experiments
- Complicated experimental set ups
- Indirect measurements
- (Bayesian) inverse problem





> Single Region EEG / MEG Model

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_e \dot{v}_1 = x_1 \\ & \tau_e \dot{x}_1 = H_e \gamma_1 \bar{\sigma}_\kappa (v_4 - v_5) - 2x_1 - v_1 \\ & \tau_i \dot{v}_2 = x_2 \\ & \tau_i \dot{v}_2 = x_3 \\ & \tau_e \dot{v}_3 = x_3 \\ & \tau_e \dot{x}_3 = H_e \gamma_3 \bar{\sigma}_\kappa (v_4 - v_5) - 2x_3 - v_3 + H_e u \\ & \tau_e \dot{x}_4 = H_e \gamma_4 \bar{\sigma}_\kappa (v_3) - 2x_4 - v_4 \\ & \tau_i \dot{v}_5 = x_5 \\ & \tau_i \dot{x}_5 = H_i \gamma_5 \bar{\sigma}_\nu (v_1 - v_2) - 2x_5 - v_5 \end{aligned}$$



> Multi-Region EEG / MEG Model



$$\begin{pmatrix} \tau_* \mathbb{1} & 0\\ 0 & \tau_* \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{v}\\ \dot{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1}\\ -\mathbb{1} & -2 \, \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v\\ x \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ A_v \end{pmatrix} \bar{\sigma}_{\kappa}(A_{\sigma}v) + H_e(\delta_{8,1}^{10\times 1} \otimes B)u$$
$$y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & L & -L & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v\\ x \end{pmatrix}$$



> Combined State and Parameter Reduction Goal:

 $\dim(x_r(t)) \ll \dim(x(t)) \wedge \dim(\theta_r) \ll \dim(\theta) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \|y(\theta) - \tilde{y}(\theta_r)\| \ll 1$

Reducing and Reconstructing Projections:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_r(t) := V_r x(t) & \to & x(t) \approx U_r x_r(t) \\ \theta_r := \Pi_r^\top \theta & \to & \theta \approx \Pi_r \theta_r \end{array}$$

Reduced Order Model:

$$\begin{split} (V_r E(\Pi_r \theta_r) U_r) \, \dot{x}_r(t) &= (V_r A U_r) \, x_r(t) + (V_r B) \, u(t) + V_r \, f(U_r x_r(t), u(t), \Pi_r \theta_r) \\ \tilde{y}(t) &= (C U_r) \, x_r(t) \end{split}$$



> System-Theoretic Idea

Parametric Input-Output System:

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}(t) &= f(x(t), u(t), \theta) \\ y(t) &= g(x(t), u(t), \theta) \end{split}$$

Augmenting System with Parameter-States:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) \\ \dot{\theta}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f(x(t), u(t), \theta) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$y(t) = g(x(t), u(t), \theta)$$



> Data-Driven Computation

Empirical Augmented Observability Gramian / Joint Gramian:

$$\widetilde{W}_O = \begin{pmatrix} W_O & W_M \\ W_M^\top & W_A \end{pmatrix}, \qquad W_J := \widetilde{W}_X = \begin{pmatrix} W_X & W_M \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Identifiability Gramian / Cross-Identifiability Gramian:

$$W_I := W_A - W_M^\top W_O^+ W_M, \qquad W_{\ddot{I}} := -\frac{1}{2} W_M^\top (W_X + W_X^\top)^+ W_M$$

Parameter Projection:

$$W_I \stackrel{\mathsf{SVD}}{=} \Pi \Sigma \Pi^T, \qquad W_{\ddot{I}} \stackrel{\mathsf{SVD}}{=} \Pi \Sigma \Pi^T$$



> Some Remarks

- Identifiability as parameter-state observability!
- Parameter projection always one-sided (Galerkin)!
- Impulse responses are basically Jacobians wrt parameters!
- Complexity scales with state plus parameter dimension (N + P)!
- Operating range of parameters is important!
- Related to Fisher information matrix.
- Related to active subspaces method.
- Related to Hessian in unconstrained optimization.
- Dimension reduction by SVDs of covariances of simulations ...
 - ightarrow Unsupervised Learning of System Properties via Synthetic Data



> Test Problems

	Training	Test
Input Function	Impulse	Experiment
Parameter Sampling	Sparse Grid	Uniformly Random
Time Horizon	2s/20s	2s/20s
	Ev	valuation
Measure	Ev Relativ	raluation e Output Error
Measure Norm	Ev Relativ	valuatione Output Error $\cdot \parallel_{L_2 \otimes L_2}$



> Numerical Illustration (EEG/MEG)



Christian Himpe(https://himpe.science)



Gas Networks, Brain Networks, and Model Reduction

> More Results







> Conclusions

- Cross-Gramian for non-square systems: Non-symmetric cross Gramian
- Parameter controllability is not needed: Parameter observability is sufficient and more efficient
- Gramian-based parameter reduction is feasible: The cross Gramian can encode parameter identifiability
- Underlying inference problem:
 Solvable over reduced parameter space
- Low-Rank (Cross-)Identifiability Gramian: Can be re-used in optimization as Hessian



> MORe Challenges



Bilinear, quadratic extension:

ightarrow Even higher dimensional parameter spaces

Region variability:

ightarrow Additional non-connectivity parameters

fMRI / fNIRS BOLD:

ightarrow Severely nonlinear models





• emgr - EMpirical GRamian Framework: https://gramian.de

• Hierarchical Approximate Proper Orthogonal Decomposition

 Full Circle: very nonlinear systems biology networks with high-dimensional parameter-spaces (U Potsdam)



> Summary



- Parametric Model Order Reduction for Gas Networks
- Combined State and Parameter Reduction for *Brain Networks*
- One Data-Driven All-Purpose Tool: Empirical Gramians

https://himpe.science