

Gramian-Based Model Reduction: From ABC-Systems to Gas Networks

Christian Himpe

AGO Seminar

2022-08-30



living.knowledge



> Outline

- 1. Pizza
- 2. Linear Systems
- 3. Model Reduction
- 4. Gas Networks
- 5. Numerical Results



Linear Systems

Christian Himpe (https://himpe.science)



ABC-System

Linear Time-Invariant Input-Output System:

$$\dot{x}(t) = A x(t) + B u(t)$$
$$y(t) = C x(t)$$



Input Matrix: B ∈ ℝ^{N×M}
 System Matrix: A ∈ ℝ^{N×N}
 Output Matrix: C ∈ ℝ^{Q×N}



Linear Time-Invariant Input-Output System with Feed-Forward:

 $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$ y(t) = Cx(t) + Du(t)



D is typically excluded from the reduction process.



Generalized Linear Time-Invariant Input-Output System:

$$E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$
$$y(t) = Cx(t)$$

Mass Matrix: $E \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$

▶ If *E* is singular, this becomes a *descriptor system*.



Linear Time-Invariant Input-Output System with Source:

$$\dot{x}(t) = A x(t) + B u(t) + F$$
$$y(t) = C x(t)$$



Linear Parametric Linear Time-Invariant Input-Output System:

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) + F\theta$$
$$y(t) = Cx(t)$$







Parametric Linear Time-Invariant Input-Output System:

$$\dot{x}(t) = A(\theta) x(t) + B(\theta) u(t)$$

$$y(t) = C(\theta) x(t)$$

System Map: A: ℝ^P → ℝ^{N×N}
 Input Map: B: ℝ^P → ℝ^{N×M}
 Output Map: C: ℝ^P → ℝ^{Q×N}
 Parameter: θ ∈ ℝ^P



Affine Parametric Linear Time-Invariant Input-Output System:

$$\dot{x}(t) = \left(A_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} A_k(\theta)\right) x(t) + B u(t)$$
$$y(t) = C x(t)$$





> Port-Hamiltonian Systems

Linear Time-Invariant Port-Hamiltonian Input-Output System:

$$E\dot{x}(t) = \underbrace{(J-R)Q}_{C} x(t) + \underbrace{(G-P)}_{B} u(t)$$
$$y(t) = \underbrace{(G+P)^{\top}Q}_{C} x(t)$$

Energy Flux:
$$J = -J^{\top}$$
Energy Dissipation: $R = R^{\top} ≥ 0$
Energy Storage: $Q = Q^{\top} > 0$
Resistive Ports: P



Model Reduction

Christian Himpe (https://himpe.science)



> Projection-Based Model Reduction

Reconstructing and Reducing Projections:

$$U_n \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$$
, $V_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$, $V U = \mathbb{1}$

Reduced Order State:

$$x_r(t) := V_n x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \to x(t) \approx U_n x_r(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

Reduced Order Model:

$$\begin{split} U_n V_n \dot{x}(t) &= A \, U_n V_n \, x(t) + B \, u(t) \\ \tilde{y}(t) &= C \, U_n V_n \, x(t) \end{split}$$



> Projection-Based Model Reduction

Reconstructing and Reducing Projections:

$$U_n \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$$
, $V_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$, $V U = \mathbb{1}$

Reduced Order State:

$$x_r(t) := V_n x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \to x(t) \approx U_n x_r(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

Reduced Order Model:

$$\dot{x}_r(t) = (V_n A U_n) x_r(t) + (V_n B) u(t)$$

$$\tilde{y}(t) = (C U_n) x_r(t)$$



> Projection-Based Model Reduction

Reconstructing and Reducing Projections:

$$U_n \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$$
, $V_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$, $V U = \mathbb{1}$

Reduced Order State:

$$x_r(t) := V_n x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \to x(t) \approx U_n x_r(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

Reduced Order Model:

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}_r(t) &= A_r x(t) + B_r u(t) \\ \tilde{y}(t) &= C_r x(t) \end{split}$$

Christian Himpe (https://himpe.science)



> Controllability Gramians

Controllability Gramian:

$$W_C := \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{Ax(t)} B B^\top \, \mathrm{e}^{A^\top x(t)} \, \mathrm{d}t$$

Controllability: Ability to drive a system to a steady-state.

- Impulse Response: $e^{Ax(t)} B = (B^{\top} e^{A^{\top}x(t)})^{\top}$.
- Lyapunov equation: $A W_C + W_C A^\top = -B B^\top$.



> Proper Orthogonal Decomposition

System-Theoretic View of POD:

$$W_{\mathcal{C}} \stackrel{\text{SVD}}{=} U \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \lambda_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_N \end{pmatrix} U^{\top}$$

Energy-fraction-based truncation:

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_{k}} > (1 - \varepsilon) \rightarrow U_{n} := U \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{n} \\ \mathbb{0}_{N-n} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow V_{n} = U_{n}^{\top}$$



> Goal-Oriented POD

Output Controllability Gramian:

$$CW_{C}C^{\top} \stackrel{\text{SVD}}{=} CU \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1} & & \\ & \lambda_{2} & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_{N} \end{pmatrix} U^{\top}C^{\top} = \hat{C} \wedge \hat{C}^{\top}$$

Sort by Impulse-response norm:

$$\|\mathbf{y}\|_2 = \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(C \, W_C \, C^{\top})} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^N (\hat{c}_{k,*} \, \hat{c}_{k,*}^{\top} \, \lambda_k)}$$



> Observability Gramians

Observability Gramian:

$$W_O := \int_0^\infty e^{A^\top x(t)} C^\top C e^{Ax(t)} dt$$

Observability: Ability to see changes in states in outputs.

- Adjoint Impulse Response: $e^{A^{T}x(t)} C^{T} = (C e^{Ax(t)})^{T}$.
- Lyapunov equation: $A W_0 + W_0 A^{\top} = -C^{\top} C$.



> Modified POD

POD and Adjoint POD:

$$W_{C} \stackrel{\text{SVD}}{=} U \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1} & & \\ & \lambda_{2} & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \lambda_{N} \end{pmatrix} U^{\top}, \qquad W_{O} \stackrel{\text{SVD}}{=} V \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{1} & & & \\ & \mu_{2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \mu_{N} \end{pmatrix} V^{\top}$$

Oblique Projection ($VU \neq 1$ **):**

$$V_n := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{1}_n & \mathbb{0}_{N-n} \end{bmatrix} V, \quad U_n := U \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{1}_n \\ \mathbb{0}_{N-n} \end{bmatrix}$$



> Dominant Subspace Projection Model Reduction

POD and Adjoint POD:

$$W_{C} \stackrel{\text{SVD}}{=} U \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1} & & \\ & \lambda_{2} & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_{N} \end{pmatrix} U^{\top}, \qquad W_{O} \stackrel{\text{SVD}}{=} V \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{1} & & \\ & \mu_{2} & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \mu_{N} \end{pmatrix} V^{\top}$$

Galerkin Projection:

$$U_n \Sigma_n \tilde{V}_n \stackrel{\text{SVDs}(n)}{=} \left[\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{1}_n & \mathbb{0}_{N-n} \end{bmatrix} V \right) \quad \left(U \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{1}_n \\ \mathbb{0}_{N-n} \end{bmatrix} \right) \right] \rightarrow V_n = U_n^\top$$



> Balanced Truncation

Balancing Transformation:

$$U\Sigma V \stackrel{SVD}{=} W_O W_C$$

Petrov-Galerkin Projection:

$$V_n := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{1}_n & \mathbb{0}_{N-n} \end{bmatrix} \Sigma^{-1} U^\top W_0, \quad U_n := W_C V \Sigma^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{1}_n \\ \mathbb{0}_{N-n} \end{bmatrix}$$



> Balanced Gains

Balancing Transformation:

$$U\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \sigma_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sigma_N \end{pmatrix} V \stackrel{SVD}{=} W_O W_C$$

Sort by Impulse-response norm:

$$\|\mathbf{y}\|_{2} = \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(\widehat{C}\,\widehat{W}_{C}\,\widehat{C}^{\top})} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{N}\widehat{c}_{k,*}\,\widehat{c}_{k,*}^{\top}\,\sigma_{k}}$$

Christian Himpe (https://himpe.science)



> Balanced POD

Approximate Balancing Transformation:

$$W_{O} \stackrel{SVDs}{=} U_{O} \land M U_{O}^{T}, \qquad W_{C} \stackrel{SVDs}{=} V_{C} \land V_{C}^{T}$$
$$U \Sigma V \stackrel{SVD}{=} U_{O} V_{C}^{T}$$

Petrov-Galerkin Projection:

$$V_n := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{1}_n & \mathbb{0}_{N-n} \end{bmatrix} \Sigma^{-1} U^\top U_0, \quad U_n := V_C V \Sigma^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{1}_n \\ \mathbb{0}_{N-n} \end{bmatrix}$$



> Cross Gramians

Cross Gramian:

$$W_X := \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{Ax(t)} B C \, \mathrm{e}^{Ax(t)} \, \mathrm{d}t$$

- Minimality: How many states are minimally required.
- Cross Operator: $(e^{Ax(t)}B)(Ce^{Ax(t)})$.
- Sylvester equation: $A W_X + W_X A = -B C$.



> DMD-Galerkin

Dynamic Mode Decomposition (DMD) in 1 minute

1.
$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & \dots & x_K \end{bmatrix}$$

2. $X_0 := \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & \dots & x_{K-1} \end{bmatrix}$, $X_1 := \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_K \end{bmatrix}$
3. $x_{k+1} \stackrel{!}{=} A x_k$
4. $X_1 = A_{\text{DMD}} X_0$
5. $A_{\text{DMD}} = X_1 X_0^+$

DMD-Galerkin (Empirical Modal Truncation):

$$A_{\text{DMD}} \stackrel{\text{SVDs}}{=} U_n \Lambda_n \, \tilde{V}_n \to V_n = U_n^\top$$



> Nonlinear Systems

(Adjoint) Impulse Response:

 $\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{Ax(t)} B u(t)$ $\mathbf{z}(t) = e^{A^{\top}x(t)} C^{\top}v(t)$

Empirical Gramians:

- Empirical Controllability Gramian: $\widehat{W}_C = \int_0^\infty x(t) x(t)^\top dt$
- Empirical Observability Gramian: $\widehat{W}_{O} = \int_{0}^{\infty} z(t) z(t)^{\top} dt$

Empirical Cross Gramian: $\widehat{W}_X = \int_0^\infty x(t) z(t)^\top dt$ (Nonlinear systems use x(t) and y(t))



> Parametric Systems

Parametric Input-Output System:

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t), \theta, t)$$
$$y(t) = g(x(t), u(t), \theta, t)$$

Average Gramian:

$$\overline{W}_* = \sum_{p=1}^{p} W_*(\theta_p)$$

(Applies also to time-varying systems)



> Structured Systems

(Block) Structured Linear Time-Invariant System:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{p}(t) \\ \dot{q}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{pp} & A_{pq} \\ A_{qp} & A_{qq} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p(t) \\ q(t) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B_p \\ B_q \end{pmatrix} u(t)$$
$$y(t) = \begin{pmatrix} C_p & C_q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p(t) \\ q(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

(Block) Structured System Gramians:

$$W_* = \begin{pmatrix} W_{*,pp} & W_{*,pq} \\ W_{*,qp} & W_{*,qq} \end{pmatrix}$$



> Hyperbolic Systems

Empirical Gramians for Hyperbolic Systems:

- (Linear) system theory is centered around impulse responses.
- Transport can introduce a delay between input and outputs.
- Impulse input may dissipate before output is reached.
- Step input is an alternative.
- But: (Infinite-time) Gramians are not defined for step inputs.
- However: Step response Gramians are defined on finite time.
- > All empirical Gramians are practically time-limited.



> Gain Matching

System Gain:

$$S = h(0) = C(1 \hat{s}^{-0} - A)^{-1}B = CA^{-1}B$$

Gain Matching by adding feed-through to ROM:

$$D_r := C A^{-1} B - C_r A_r^{-1} B_r$$



> Gain Matching

System Gain:

$$S = h(0) = C(\mathbb{1} \ \hat{s}^{=0} - A)^{-1}B = CA^{-1}B$$

Gain Matching by adding feed-through to ROM (port-Hamiltonian FOM):

$$D_r := C Q^{-1} B - C_r Q_r^{-1} B_r$$



Gas Networks

Christian Himpe(https://himpe.science)



> Gas Pipeline

Friction-Dominated 1D Isothermal Euler Equations:

- Pressure: p(x, t)
- Mass-Flux: q(x, t)
- Pipe Incline: h_x
- Pipe Diameter: *d*
- Pipe Cross-Section: S

- Friction Factor: λ_0
- Compressibility Factor: z_0
- Temperature: $T_0 =: \theta_1$
- Specific Gas Constant: $R_S =: \theta_2$
- Gravity Acceleration: g



> Gas Networks

Kirchhoff Laws:

1. The sum of mass-flux in- and outflows at every junction is zero:

$$\mathcal{A} q(t) = \mathcal{B}_d d_q(t)$$

2. The sum of pressure drops in every fundamental loop is zero. \rightarrow Nodal pressures at in-flows equal to boundary function:

$$\mathcal{A}_0^\top p(t) + \mathcal{B}_s^\top s_p(t) = |\mathcal{A}_0^\top| \, p(t)$$

- Incidence Matrix: \mathcal{A}
- Reduced Incidence Matrix: A_0
- Boundary Pressure Map: \mathcal{B}_s
- Boundary Mass-Flux Map: \mathcal{B}_d

- Pressure: p(t)
- Mass-Flux: q(t)
- Pressure Boundary: $s_p(t)$
- Mass-Flux Boundary: $d_q(t)$



> Input-Output System

Square Input-Output-System (ODE) with Compressors:

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_p(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & E_q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{p} \\ \dot{q} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{pq} \\ \hat{A}_{qp} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_{pd} \\ B_{qs} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_p \\ d_q \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F_C + f_q(p, q, \theta) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} s_q \\ d_p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C_{sq} \\ C_{dp} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix}$$

Input:State:Output: \triangleright Pressure @ supply: s_p \triangleright Pressure: p \triangleright Pressure @ demand: d_p \triangleright Mass-flux @ demand: d_q \triangleright Mass-flux: q \triangleright Mass-flux @ supply: s_q



> Practical Remarks

Gas Networks:

- Compressors \rightarrow Source term
- Initial values \rightarrow Steady-State problem
- Exchangeable compressibility factor formula
- Exchangeable friction factor formula
- Tuning factor to match real data

Model Reduction:

- Structuring, nonlinearity, parametricity voids BT properties
- Hyperbolicity may require large reduced order model (ROM)
- Galerkin is stability preserving for port-Hamiltonian systems
- Approximate adjoint for local repeated scalar nonlinearities
- Quality of ROM depends on quality of data (and thus solver)



Numerical Experiments

Christian Himpe (https://himpe.science)



> MORGEN - Model Order Reduction for Gas and Energy Networks

Design Principles:

- No Optimization (Steady-State, Time-Stepper, ROMs, Stabilization)
- Approximate Adjoint if available (Port-Hamiltonian + SRSN)
- Only global, but structured projectors (Unstructured \rightarrow Unstable)

General Features:

- Open-Source
- MATLAB & Octave Compatibility
- Modular / Configurable / Extensible

Specific Features:

- Holistic Approach: Model–Solver–Reductor Ensembles
- Data-Driven System-Theoretic MOR
- Realistic Test Networks



> Experimental Setup

Training:

- Short Offline phase (1-12h, depending on network expanse).
- Generic training scenarios (step inputs).
- Sparse grid parameter sampling.

Testing:

- Long testing phase (24h).
- Designed, random or realistic scenarios.
- Uniformly distributed parameter sampling.

Evaluation:

- ► $L_2 \otimes L_2$ -Norm model reduction error (also $L_x \otimes L_y$; x, y = 0, 1, 2, ∞)
- MORscore: Area above reduced-order-vs-error graph.
- Averaged over multiple parameter samples.



> Yamal-Europe Pipeline ($N \approx 1000$)



Struct. Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (WR) Struct. Empirical Dominant Subspaces (WR) Struct. Empirical Dominant Subspaces (WR) Struct. Empirical Dominant Subspaces (WX) Struct. Empirical Balanced Truncation (WR) Struct. Empirical Balanced Gains (WX) Struct. Empirical Balanced Gains (WX) Struct. Empirical Balanced Gains (WX)		
MORscore	$\mu \in [0,1)$	
POD (WR)	0.40	
DSPMR (WR + WR*)	0.51	
DSPMR (WX*)	0.57	
DSPMR (WZ*)	0.56	
BPOD (WR + WR*)	0.14	
BT (WR + WR*)	0.03	
BT (WX*)	0.10	
BT (WZ*)	0.15	
GOPOD (WR)	0.41	
BG (WR + WR*)	0.02	
BG (WX*)	0.14	
BG (WZ*)	0.11	
DMD-G (WR)	0.53	

Christian Himpe (https://himpe.science)



> MORGEN-Network ($N \approx 1000$)



Struct. Proper Orthogonal Decomposition WR) Struct. Empirical Dominant Subspaces (WR + WO) Struct. Empirical Dominant Subspaces (WX) Struct. Empirical Dominant Subspaces (WZ) Struct. Empirical Balanced Truncation (WR + WO) Struct. Empirical Balanced Truncation (WR) Struct. Empirical Balanced Truncation (WR) Struct. Empirical Balanced Gains (WR + WO) Struct. Empirical Balanced Gains (WR + WO) Struct. Empirical Balanced Gains (WX) Struct. Empirical Balanced Gains (WX) Struct. Empirical Balanced Gains (WX) Struct. Empirical Balanced Gains (WX)		
MORscore	$\mu \in [0,1)$	
POD (WR)	0.16	
DSPMR (WR + WR*)	0.32	
DSPMR (WX*)	0.15	
DSPMR (WZ*)	0.13	
BPOD (WR + WR*)	0.03	
BT (WR + WR*)	0.00	
BT (WX*)	0.00	
BT (WZ*)	0.00	
GOPOD (WR)	0.14	
BG (WR + WR*)	0.00	
BG (WX*)	0.00	
BG (WZ*)	0.01	
DMD-G (WR)	0.21	



> GasLib-134 (*N* ≈ 2700)



Struct. Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (WR) Struct. Empirical Dominant Subspaces (WR) + WO) Struct. Empirical Dominant Subspaces (WX) Struct. Bainared POD (WR + WC) Struct. Bainared FOD (WR + WC) Struct. Empirical Bainared Truncation (WR + WC) Struct. Empirical Bainared Truncation (WR) Struct. Empirical Bainared Gains (WR) Struct. Empirical Bainared Gains (WR) Struct. Empirical Bainared Gains (WX) Struct. Empirical Bainared Gains (WX) Struct. Empirical Bainared Gains (WX) Struct. Empirical Bainared Gains (WX)		
MORscore	$\mu \in [0,1)$	
POD (WR)	0.14	
DSPMR (WR + WR*)	0.16	
DSPMR (WX*)	0.12	
DSPMR (WZ*)	0.12	
BPOD (WR + WR*)	0.14	
BT (WR + WR*)	0.00	
BT (WX*)	0.00	
BT (WZ*)	0.00	
GOPOD (WR)	0.10	
BG (WR + WR*)	0.00	
BG (WX*)	0.00	
BG (WZ*)	0.00	
DMD-G (WR)	0.19	

Christian Himpe (https://himpe.science)



> American Gas Association Net ($N \approx 1500$)





> Chinese Transport Network ($N \approx 11000$)





> Summary

Findings:

- Model: Port-Hamiltonian Endpoint Discretization
- Solver: First-Order Implicit-Explicit
- Reductor: Dominant Subspace Projection Model Reduction

```
https://himpe.science
https://gramian.de
https://git.io/morgen
https://git.io/hapod
christian.himpe@wwu.de
```



> References

MORGEN:

C. Himpe, S. Grundel, P. Benner: **Model Order Reduction for Gas and Energy Networks**; Journal of Mathematics in Industry 11: 13, 2021.

MORGEN Addons I:

C. Himpe, S. Grundel, P. Benner: **Next-Gen Gas Network Simulation**; Progress in Industrial Mathematics at ECMI 2021: Accepted, 2022.

MORGEN Addons II:

C. Himpe, S. Grundel: **System Order Reduction for Gas and Energy Networks**; PAMM: In Preparation, 2022.

Gas Network Modeling:

P. Benner, S. Grundel, C. Himpe, et al.: **Gas Network Benchmark Models**; Applications of Differential-Algebraic Equations: Examples & Benchmarks: 171–197, 2018.

MORscore:

C. Himpe: **Comparing (Empirical-Gramian-Based) Model Order Reduction Algorithms;** Model Reduction of Complex Dynamical Systems: 141–164, 2021.

► DSPMR:

P. Benner, C. Himpe: **Cross-Gramian-Based Dominant Subspaces**; Advances in Computational Mathematics, 45(5): 2533–2553, 2019.